



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/851,400	05/07/2001	Michael L. Reo	020	1900	
7.	590 10/09/2002				
HOEKENDIJK & LYNCH, LLP			EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 4787 Burlingame, CA 94011-4878			KONTOS, LINA R		
Zuriniguine, Cr	- , , , , , , , ,				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3751		
		DATE MAILED: 10/09/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_				É			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/851,400	REO ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Lina Kontos	3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of trill apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered ti ONTHS from the mailing date of th ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	is communication,			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims		,				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5)🖂	Claim(s) 27-40 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,7,11,15,17-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>2-6,8-10,12-14,16,24-26</u> is/are object	ed to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requirement.					
9)🖂 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) 🔲 🏾	Fhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abo	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🔲 🏾	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ approved b)[disapproved by the Exar	niner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in	Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14)⊠ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisio	nal application).			
) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti						
Attachment	· ·	-					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper of Informal Patent Application				
J.S. Patent and Tr	rademark Office						

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 11, line 11 of the specification referencing Figure 12 "two plain bar magnets 190,190...".

For the purposes of this examination, the reference has been interpreted as "two plain bar magnets 190,192..."

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

2.

Claim 22 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. For the purposes of this examination, claim 22 was interpreted to read "The process of claim 20 wherein the component is packaged, sterilized, and then magnetized."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3751

3.

Claims 18,19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 18 recites the limitation "the providing step" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4.

Claims 1,7,11,15,17,20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cope et al.

Cope et al. teaches a magnetic device for creating anastomoses wherein the magnet portion is surrounded by a thin metal plate and then coated (column 4, lines 58-59) with a biocompatible material. The magnet portion is "preferably a rare-earth magnet such as Neodymiun-iron-boron" (column 4, lines 50-51).

The process detailed for making a magnetic anastomosis product is well known in the art. Many anastomosis devices have magnetic properties and as the product will be

Art Unit: 3751

implanted in the human body, the device should clearly be biocompatible and sterilized. Common sterilization techniques include using the gas ethylene oxide (ETO). If the components of the device are not magnetized at the start of the manufacturing process, they will have to undergo magnetization either before or after the sterilization and packaging steps. The device would most likely be assembled and packaged with specific components that are necessary for its application to the patient, i.e. a "delivery device".

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 27-40 are allowed.

Conclusion

Claims 2-6,8-10,12-14,16,24-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3751

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lina Kontos whose telephone number is (703) 306-4207. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on (703) 308-2580. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3588 for regular communications and (703) 305-3588 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

LRK October 1, 2002

GREGORY HUSON
JPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700